

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,960	01/11/2002	Richard Henry Bastian		7640	
75	90 08/11/2006		EXAM	INER	
Richard H. Bastian			DALEY, CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY		
25125 Santa Clara St., #169 Hayward, CA 94544			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2111	2111	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/043,960	BASTIAN, RICHARD HENRY		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christopher A. Daley	2111		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☑ Th</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow</li> </ul>	is action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examination</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 1/11/2002 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination</li> </ul>	☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by e e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 4 – 10 are pending.

### Requirement for Information

2. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

## **Products and Services Embodying Invention**

a) The information is required to identify products and services embodying the disclosed subject matter of **mechanical computer bus systems** and identify the properties of similar products and services found in the prior art.

## Art Relied Upon for Description of Prior Art

b) In response to this requirement, please provide the title, citation and copy of each publication that is a source used for the description of the prior art in the disclosure. For each publication, please provide a concise explanation of that publication's contribution to the description of the prior art.

## Art Relied Upon for Development of Invention

c) In response to this requirement, please provide the title, citation and copy of each publication that any of the applicants relied upon to develop the disclosed subject matter that describes the applicant's invention, particularly as to developing **mechanical computer bus systems**. For each publication, please provide a concise explanation of the reliance placed on that publication in the development of the disclosed subject matter.

Art Relied Upon for Drafting Claimed Subject Matter

d) In response to this requirement, please provide the title, citation and copy of each

publication that was relied upon to draft the claimed subject matter. For each

publication, please provide a concise explanation of the reliance placed on that

publication in distinguishing the claimed subject matter from the prior art.

**Contents of Good Faith Reply** 

e) The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with

candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot

readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or

cannot be readily obtained may be accepted as a complete reply to the requirement for

that item.

**Conclusion of Requirement That Accompanies Office Action** 

f) This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to

the enclosed Office action must include a complete reply to this requirement. The time

period for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the

enclosed Office action.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it clearly violates the brevity

and plain English attributes that are required. Correction is required. See MPEP

§ 608.01(b).

The examiner Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the

disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,960

Art Unit: 2111

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

Page 4

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The examiner objects to the arrangement of the specification.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

- 4. As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:
  - (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
  - (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
  - (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
  - (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
  - (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer

Art Unit: 2111

program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

#### **Drawings**

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and

Page 6

appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Labeling of the drawings is missing or incomplete. Examples of said objection can be seen in Figures 1, 21B, and 31B.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 4, 10 also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a recognized asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

In addition, different words are used for the same element. Examples of this objection are conduit and bus, discrete bus and signal.

In addition, several elements lack antecedence.

The data writing portion lack antecedence.

Said bus positions lacks antecedence.

In addition, diagram or pictures cannot be used as a part of the claim language.

Claim language need to be expressed with words. Thus, the pointing to register 700 in claim 4 is objected to.

7. Claims 4 – 10 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

8. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, wherein the claims do not adequately delineate the statutory class of invention to which the claims are drawn.

A single claim which claims both an apparatus and the method steps of using the apparatus is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990), a claim directed to an automatic transmission workstand and the method steps of using it was held to be ambiguous and properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,960 Page 8

Art Unit: 2111

9. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

10. As to claims 4 and 10, the claim language comprises several terms that render

the claim indefinite. Several elements are inappropriately defined. A few examples from

the myriad of cases are:

radix range ,term range , Standardizing , minimal useful, simplifying, associated

logic, path coded bit, an automatic action, a useful computer subsystem data transfer

method.

11. Claims 5 -9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. Said claims are rejected, as they are dependent on

independent claim 1, which has been rejected as indefinite, thus making said claims

indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

12. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the

conditions and requirements of this title.

Inventions may be patented only if they fall within one of the four statutory classes of

subject matter of U.S.C. 101: "process, machine, manufacture, or composition of

matter."

Subject matter that does not fall within one of the statutory classes of 35 U.S.C. § 101 is said to be "nonstatutory" or to be "unpatentable subject matter."

Claims 4- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are replete with both functional apparatus and operational process language, which obfuscates the statutory nature of the invention. The process steps or machine elements, which make up the invention must be clearly and positively specified.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 14. Independent Claims 4, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diago (US1568272).

As best understood, the claimed invention is a mechanical system that transfers physical markers between mechanical devices. Diago teaches a mechanical system that uses mechanical switches to create logic functions by steering balls within various conduits, COL. 1, lines 30 – 45)

Application/Control Number: 10/043,960 Page 10

Art Unit: 2111

15. As to dependent claims 5 – 9, prior art has not been applied at this time because the claims are so indefinite that a clear understanding of the scope of coverage of the instant claims cannot be made.

#### Conclusion

16. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Daley whose telephone number is 571 272 3625. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am. - 4p m.

Art Unit: 2111

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571 272 3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CAD 8/07/2006

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2109